

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,537	11/20/2003	Michael Jackson Hosey	50065.00011	3450	
759	90 10/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Stuart Whittington, Esq.			HINZE, LEO T		
7037 E. Monte (Mesa, AZ 852			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854	2854	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,537	HOSEY, MICHAEL JACKSON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leo T. Hinze	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Jules</u> 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or by □ objected or b	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7, 8, 10, 11, 13 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claims 7, 8, 10, 11, 13 and 14 appear to provide further structural limits for an electronic device, but not for an attachable display apparatus. The attachable display apparatus is positively claimed in claim 6, but an electronic device is not positively claimed.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pantet, US 5,781,512 (Pantet).
- a. Regarding claim 1, Pantet teaches a timepiece comprising: a casing (11, Fig. 1); a display device (3, Fig. 1) secured by the casing; and an attachment section (12, Fig. 1) pivotally attached to the casing; and wherein the attachment section is configured to be attachable to an object of interest ("a buckle or a small chain snap hook", col. 2, Il. 50-51) and pivot ("pivot the hinge",

Art Unit: 2854

- col. 2, Il. 53-57) in a position to maintain the display device in a substantially upright position when the attachment section is placed on a substantially horizontal surface (Fig. 2).
- b. Regarding claim 2, Pantet also teaches wherein the display device comprises a watch module ("pocket and table watch", col. 3, 1. 22).
- c. Regarding claim 3, Pantet also teaches wherein the watch module includes one or more modes selected from a group consisting of a time mode (display elements 6 show the time, Fig. 1).
- d. Regarding claim 6, Pantet teaches an attachable display apparatus comprising: a casing (11, Fig. 1) configured to hold ("secured to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, Il. 35-36) an electronic device having a display; and an attachment section (12, Fig. 1) coupled to the casing and configured to attach and detach with an object of interest ("a buckle or a small chain snap hook", col. 2, Il. 50-51); wherein the casing and the attachment section are coupled such that they move with respect to each other and can be configured to lie essentially in the same plane to form a first configuration (Fig. 1) and can be configured to form an angle of ninety degrees or less between the attachment section and the casing section to form a second configuration (Fig. 2; "pivot the hinge", col. 2, Il. 53-57).
- e. Regarding claim 7, Pantet also teaches wherein the casing configured to hold ("secured to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, ll. 35-36) an electronic device that comprises a watch module.
- f. Regarding claim 8, Pantet also teaches wherein the casing configured to hold ("secured to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, Il. 35-36) an electronic device that comprises a watch

Art Unit: 2854

module that includes one or more modes selected from the group consisting of a time mode, an altimeter mode, a compass mode, a barometer mode, an alarm mode and a chronograph mode.

- g. Regarding claim 10, Pantet also teaches wherein the casing configured to hold ("secured to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, ll. 35-36) an electronic device that comprises a watch module that further comprises a temperature sensor coupled to the watch module and wherein the watch module is operative to display an ambient temperature.
- h. Regarding claim 11, Pantet also teaches wherein the casing configured to hold ("secured to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, ll. 35-36) an electronic device that comprises one selected from a group consisting of a MP3 player, a multi-function display device, a cell phone, a personal digital assistant, a calculator, and a digital camera.
- i. Regarding claim 12, Pantet also teaches a hinge section (13, Fig. 1) configured to connect the attachment section with the casing section in pivoting manner.
- j. Regarding claim 13, Pantet also teaches wherein the casing configured to hold ("secured to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, ll. 35-36) an electronic device that includes a multifunction module configured to identify and display an altitude, a temperature, a time, a date, and a compass heading.
- k. Regarding claim 14, Pantet also teaches wherein the second configuration maintains the display in an upright position while the attachment section placed on a substantially horizontal surface (Fig. 2, col. 2, ll. 53-57).

Art Unit: 2854

l. Regarding claim 15, Pantet also teaches wherein the casing configured to hold ("secured

to the case in a removable manner", col. 2, Il. 35-36) an electronic device that is radio

communication device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set

forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior

art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived

by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4, 9, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Pantet in view of Kauker, US 5,540,367 (Kauker).

a. Regarding claims 4 and 9:

Pantet teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claims 1 and 6 above.

Pantet does not teach wherein the attachment section comprises a carabiner clip.

Kauker teaches a loop watch, including a hanger (14, Fig. 1), comprising a carabiner clip

(14c, Fig. 1). Such a clip is very functional and allows the watch to be attached to a wide variety

of belongings such as backpacks, golf bags and any other attachable article (col. 1, ll. 40-42).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Pantet to include a carabiner clip in the attachment section as

taught by Kauker, because Kauker teaches that a carabiner clip increases the functionality of the

Art Unit: 2854

watch by allowing it to be easily attached to a wide variety of objects, and a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this additional functionality may increase commercial profitability of such a watch.

b. Regarding claim 16:

Pantet teaches an apparatus comprising: an electronic device (3, Fig. 1) having a display (5, Fig. 1); a casing (11, Fig. 1) configured to hold the electronic device; and an attachment section (12, Fig. 1) pivotally attached to the casing, the attachment section configured to be attachable to an object of interest, the attachment section configured to be pivoted to form a stand for the apparatus (Fig. 2).

Pantet does not teach a clip pivotally attached to the casing, the clip configured to be attachable to an object of interest, the clip configured to be pivoted to form a stand for the apparatus.

Kauker teaches a loop watch, including a hanger (14, Fig. 1), comprising a carabiner clip (14c, Fig. 1). Such a clip is very functional and allows the watch to be attached to a wide variety of belongings such as backpacks, golf bags and any other attachable article (col. 1, ll. 40-42).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Pantet to include a carabiner clip in the attachment section as taught by Kauker, because Kauker teaches that a carabiner clip increases the functionality of the watch by allowing it to be easily attached to a wide variety of objects, and a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this additional functionality may increase commercial profitability of such a watch.

Art Unit: 2854

c. Regarding claim 17, the combination of Pantet and Kauker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 16 above. Pantet also teaches wherein the electronic device is a watch (3, Fig. 2).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pantet in view of Sekiguchi, US 6,751,164 (Sekiguchi).

Pantet teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, except a temperature sensor coupled to the watch module and wherein the display device is operable to display a temperature.

Sekiguchi teaches a watch configured to display a temperature (29, Fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Pantet to include a temperature measurement and display function as taught by Sekiguchi, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the addition of this feature would increase the functionality of the watch, thereby possibly increasing the commercial profitability of the watch.

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pantet in view of Kauker as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Sekiguchi.

The combination of Pantet and Kauker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 16 above, except wherein the electronic device comprises an altimeter.

Sekiguchi teaches a watch that comprises an altimeter (col. 4, ll. 9-11).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Pantet to include an altimeter as taught by Sekiguchi,

Art Unit: 2854

because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the addition of this feature would increase the functionality of the watch, thereby possibly increasing the commercial profitability of the watch.

8. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pantet in view of Kauker as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Gilmour, US 6,801,476 (Gilmour).

a. Regarding claim 19:

The combination of Pantet and Kauker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 16 above, except wherein the electronic device comprises a personal audio device.

Gilmour teaches a wrist-worn phone and body-worn data storage device, including a personal audio device (MP3 music player, col. 7, l. 44).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Pantet to include a personal audio device as taught by Gilmour, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the addition of this feature would increase the functionality of the watch, thereby possibly increasing the commercial profitability of the watch.

b. Regarding claim 20:

The combination of Pantet and Kauker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 16 above, except wherein the electronic device comprises a radio communication device.

Art Unit: 2854

Gilmour teaches a wrist-worn phone and body-worn data storage device, including a radio communication device (col. 4, ll. 17-18).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Pantet to include a radio communication device as taught by Gilmour, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the addition of this feature would increase the functionality of the watch, thereby possibly increasing the commercial profitability of the watch.

c. Regarding claim 21:

The combination of Pantet and Kauker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 16 above, except wherein the electronic device comprises a digital camera.

Gilmour teaches a wrist-worn phone and body-worn data storage device, including a digital camera ("digital camera", col. 7, l. 46).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Pantet to include a digital camera as taught by Gilmour, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the addition of this feature would increase the functionality of the watch, thereby possibly increasing the commercial profitability of the watch.

- 9. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pantet in view of Kauker as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Lowedenslager, US 4,022,014 (Lowedenslager).
- a. Regarding claim 22:

Art Unit: 2854

The combination of Pantet and Kauker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the

rejection of claim 16 above, except wherein the electronic device comprises a calculator.

Lowedenslager teaches a combination wristwatch/calculator (Fig. 2) that adds the

functionality to perform various arithmetic functions such as recording expenditures in a

supermarket, balancing a checkbook stub, or checking inventories (col. 1, ll. 65-68).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to further modify Pantet to include a calculator as taught by Lowedenslager,

because Lowedenslager teaches that a calculator adds the functionality to perform various

arithmetic functions such as recording expenditures in a supermarket, balancing a checkbook

stub, or checking inventories, and a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that

the addition of this feature would increase the functionality of the watch, thereby possibly

increasing the commercial profitability of the watch.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure..

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leo T. Hinze whose telephone number is (571) 272-2167. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT DIA MER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Leo T. Hinze Patent Examiner AU 2854 24 October 2005